

Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur

Global Tender

Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report (DPR) for Setting up Experimental CSP (Solar Thermal) plant at the Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

Corrigendum

1. The **submission dateline for technical and price bids** have been extended to day 47. All bids must be received at IIT Jodhpur by 17:00 hrs IST on 29/07/2013. Please ensure that all conditions related to the submission of the bid are complied with.

Answers to Queries

First Set of Queries

Questions

- 1) Since 1980 we have been working in the area of solar power generation, consulting clients and developing dish/Stirling systems, heliostats and towers for central receiver systems, parabolic trough collectors and solar test rigs and systems. Is this a problem, e.g. with respect to the requirement to be considered impartial?
- 2) The schedule is very tight. Is timely delivery of the electronic offer documents as an e-mail acceptable, or do also the paper copies have to arrive by the given deadline?
- 3) Which documents have to be notarised? Please specify.
- 4) Are there any special requirements for the NDA between bidder and their subcontractor?
- 5) Is there an NDA which has to be concluded between bidder and Indian Institute of technology Rajasthan?
- 6) Ref. page 2. to the documents which have to be submitted with the techno-commercial, unpriced-bid, what does "exclusion matrix (in form of a chart)" exactly mean?
- 7) Ref. Section 2 pg. 32/47, In case of foreign bidders in lead, the PAN, TAN, PF, ESIC, S.T. registration will not be applicable. Please confirm.
- 8) Ref. Clause 1.8 pg. 7/47, Registration number of Incorporation with Registrar of companies is applicable only for Indian Bidder in Lead. Please confirm. If so, please confirm the alternative for foreign bidder.
- 9) Ref. item 6, pg. 4/47, "Registered Notary" is applicable for Indian Bidders only. Please confirm.
- 10) Ref. Datelines, pg. 3/47, the Replies to queries is scheduled for Day 21 and Issuance of corrigendum, if any for Day 22. In such case the submission on day 30 for foreign bidder leave very little time and will be prone to Postal delays. Can this Period be extended suitably, say by another 15 or more days.
- 11) Please elaborate on the applicable taxes and their rates (income tax and Service tax) in case of Foreign bidder. These taxes be deducted at source. Please confirm.
- 12) For Indian Proprietorship in consortium with foreign bidder in lead, submission of PAN number alone will suffice. Please confirm.

Answers

- 1) The experience in developing CSP related systems is an advantage to the bidder. However, the bidder may not be eligible for participating in next stage of the project where the plant in question will be procured for installation.
- 2) Yes hard copies as per tender document are required. Please refer 11.18, page 16 of tender document.
- 3) The required information is given in the check list at page 4 of tender document.
- 4) Section 6 of tender document is sufficient.
- 5) Yes as per clause 5 of 11.23 at page 18.
- 6) The exclusion matrix is for the consortium with matrix of each partner with their scope of work.
- 7) Requirements will be according to the governing laws of India for foreign bidders.
- 8) Registration details from similar statutory body as applicable may be submitted.
- 9) If the bid is not in English language, then only it is applicable.
- 10) The bid opening has been extended. Please check our website.
- 11) This would be according to the governing laws of India.
- 12) Payment is made to lead bidder only, whether Indian or Foreign.

Second Set of Queries

Questions

- 1) There is a mismatch between Clause 5 and Clause 6, as there are items mentioned in Clause 5 but not listed / mentioned in Clause 6 as deliverables. This refers to installation/commissioning / O&M procedures check lists for performance trial and acceptance tests etc. as well as OPEX cost estimate. Please clarify. Considering the stage of the project and the fact that they are not listed under deliverables, our approach would be that we do not offer this scope. Is this correct?
- 2) Page 40 first para: It is understood that the proposed capacity for the testing equipment shall be included, but any up scaling to the MW range is not to be covered / considered in the DPR. Please confirm.
- 3) Is there the opportunity to slightly amend the proposed time schedule for the project (page 13), as long as the overall time frame will be met?
- 4) How many meetings are anticipated by the Client? KoM + presentation after draft submission are mentioned in the RFP. In clause 10.4 + 10.5 it is not clear if this relates to the same meeting. Would the Client also come to Germany for an interim meeting (e.g. after Task 2 (concept review))?

Answers

- 1) No. Whatever has been mentioned in clause 5 should also be covered in DPR.
- 2) The experimental CSP technologies should be a scaled-down version of a realistic plant (kW thermal range), as specified in section 3.2, so that at a later stage, the results may be used to design MW thermal level demonstration plants.
- 3) The bid opening has been extended. Please check our website.
- 4) As of now, we foresee two essential meetings – one at the time of kick-off and – other after submission of draft report. Clauses 10.4 and 10.5 refer to the same meeting before for the final report is presented to IIT Jodhpur. Please also see clauses 10.6 and 10.7.

Third Set of Queries

Questions

- 1) As a first clarification, and after having read the description of the Proposed Concentrated Solar Thermal Experimental Facility in Section 3, we wonder whether it could be possible to clarify the degree of flexibility of the final proposal of the consultant in comparison to the current description herein proposed. Based on our experience in CSP plants, it is not possible to test all the proposed technologies sharing the same subsystems & infrastructure such (eg: piping, valves etc). Based on the above we would kindly like to confirm if it would be possible to include only some of the multiple options proposed in the tender or if the test facility will have enough budget and capacity to have separate testing systems for each technology and the required subsystems.
- 2) The second clarification is related to the main purpose foreseen for the CSP experimental pilot unit:
 - a)
 - b) Is this pilot unit expected to be used just for tests execution for Research and Development (R&D) purposes, or is there any intention to also use it for CSP component testing in a near/mid-term? Yes
 - c) Regarding the previous question, has it been considered as an additional objective to get the laboratories accredited under a certification strategy? Yes
- 3) Additionally, the scope of work does not clearly state whether the definition of complementary indoor testing facilities may also be included. According to the scope of the current draft, it is not clear too whether the infrastructure required for the testing facilities should also be included. This may include water supply, accesses (roads), buildings, grid connection, etc. Yes, it needs to be covered.
- 4) From the above we would kindly like to request if it would possible to have an extension of the bid submission date to 2nd August 2013 in order to meet all requirements contained in the tender document.

Answers

- 1) Party has to include all possible options in the DFR DPR and based on their budget & practicability, we will take a view at a later stage.
- 2) (a) Blank question, (b) Yes, (c) Yes.
- 3) It is included, however the details are given in 3.3 of section 3 (page 38)
- 4) The bid opening has been extended. Please check our website.

Fourth Set of Queries

Questions

- 1) We are keen to participate in the tender IFB Ref: IITJ/CSET/2013-14/01 dated: 12/06/2013. We request for a small clarification. Please refer to the following statement on page 2: **“Bidders are additionally requested to strictly follow the Formats of the MoU attached in Section-6”**. In section – 6 on page 47, we have a document titled “Format for letter of consortium (On eachCompany/Firm’s letterhead)”. Please confirm whether the MOU referred to on page 2 is same as the document format given on page 47.

Answers

- 1) Section 6 is made of two pages: (i) Page 46: Format of Letter of Bid for Consultancy Services [On Company's/Firm's/Lead Member's (in case of Consortium)letterhead] Reference and (ii) Page 47: Format for letter of consortium (On each company/firm's letterhead). MOU referred on page 2 is same as those given in page 46 & 47 of section 6.